The Radiative Efficiencies of the Most Massive, Highest-Redshift Black Holes Benny Trakhtenbrot ETH Zürich

With:

Marta Volonteri (AIP), Priyamvada Natarajan (Yale) Hagai Netzer (Tel-Aviv), Dan Capellupo (McGill), Paulina Lira & Julian Mejia-Restrepo (U. Chile) Caroline Bertemes & Kevin Schawinski (ETH), Martin Elvis (CfA), Chris Done (Durham)

Breaking the Limits, Arbatax, September 19 2016



$L/L_{\rm Edd}$ Evolution in Luminous, Unobscured AGN

SDSS - out to $z \sim 2$

NIR studies - $z \sim 2-7$



Trakhtenbrot & Netzer (2012)

Netzer+2007, Kurk+2007, Willott+2010, Trakhtenbrot+2011, Trakhtenbrot+2016

Radiative efficiency: controls SMBH growth

- BH spin sets inner edge of accretion disk/flow
- ... which affects the radiative efficiency:

 $L_{\rm Bol} = \eta \dot{M}_{\rm AD} c^2$

• In the thin-disk regime:

 $\eta \sim 0.04 - 0.4$

"Soltan's argument": η ~ 0.1
BH "growth efficiency": M
_{BH} = (1-η) M
_{AD}

 $t_{\text{growth}} \propto \eta/(1-\eta) \rightarrow \text{Fast-spinning BHs grow slowly}$

Radiative efficiency: controls SMBH growth

- BH spin sets inner edge of accretion disk/flow
- ... which affects the radiative efficiency:

 $L_{\rm Bol} = \eta \dot{M}_{\rm AD} c^2$

- In the thin-disk regime: $\eta \sim 0.04 - 0.4$
- "Soltan's argument": *η* ~ 0.1

 BH "growth efficiency":





 $t_{\rm growth} \propto \eta/(1 - \eta) \rightarrow {\rm Fast-spinning BHs grow slowly}$

Census of (local) SMBH spin estimates



Gravitationally broadened Iron K α line at ~6.7 keV Spin estimates for ~20 local, low-luminosity and low- $M_{\rm BH}$ AGN No non-spinning SMBHs ?

Brenneman & Reynolds (2006), Brenneman et al (2011), Gallo et al (2011), Patrick et al (2012), Fabian et al (2013), Walton et al (2013) ... review by Reynolds (2014)

BH spin evolution: "spin down" scenario



A large number of accretion events (disks), randomly oriented w.r.t. the SMBH \rightarrow "spin down" (King et al. 2008) Coalescence events also lead to spin-down: $a \propto M_{BH}^{-2.4}$ (Hughes & Blandford, 2003)

BH spin evolution: the role of (an)isotropy



More isotropy \rightarrow lower spins prolonged accretion / anisotropy \rightarrow "spin up"

Dotti et al. (2013)

Where are the most massive *active* BHs?



BH spin estimates: a different approach

 $L_{\rm Bol} = \eta \dot{M}_{\rm AD} c^2$

Davis & Laor (2010), Wu+2013, Trakhtenbrot (2014, ApJL, 789, 9)

Basic assumptions

- 1. Luminous AGNs accrete matter through geometrically thin, "Shakura-Sunyaev-*like*" accretion disks
- 2. $M_{\rm BH}$ can be reliably estimated from broad emission lines for example:

$$M_{\rm BH} = 1.05 \times 10^8 \left(\frac{\lambda L_{\lambda} [5100\text{\AA}]}{10^{46} \text{ erg/s}}\right)^{0.65} \left(\frac{\text{FWHM}[\text{H}\beta]}{1000 \text{ km/s}}\right)^2 M_{\odot}$$

Thin accretion disks: estimating accretion rates



 $\dot{M}_{\rm AD} = 2.4 \left(\frac{\lambda L_{\lambda}}{10^{45} \text{ erg/s}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{5100\text{\AA}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{10^8 M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$

Bechtold et al. (1987) Collin et al. (2006) Davis & Laor (2011)

Estimating bolometric luminosities





Reference	ζ ₁₄₅₀	ζ 3000	ζ5100	Number of sources	Range in $\log(L_{bol})$	Standard error in mean for 1450/3000/5100 Å
Elvis et al. (1994) ^{<i>a</i>}	5.12	6.19	12.45	47	44.86-46.92	_
Recalculated Elvis et al. (1994) ^b	3.15	3.82	7.68	_	_	_
Richards et al. (2006)	_	5.62	10.33	259	45.06-47.43	/0.07/0.13
Recalculated Richards et al. (2006) ^c	2.33	3.11	5.53	_	_	_
Nemmen & Brotherton (2010) ^d	3.0	5.9	7.6	280	44.60-48.50	0.3/0.8/1.9
This work	4.2	5.2	8.1	63	45.13-47.30	0.1/0.2/0.4

Elvis et al (1994), Marconi et al. (2004), Richards et al. (2006), Jin et al. (2012), Runnoe et al. (2012)

Sample & data: most massive BHs at *z*~2–7

• <u>72 quasars at *z*~1.5–3.5</u>

Shemmer+2004, Netzer+2007, Marziani+2009, Dietrich+2009

• <u>20 quasars at *z*~5.8–7</u>

Iwamuro+2004, Kurk+2007,2009, Jiang+2007, Willott+2010, De Rosa+2011

- Near-IR spectra to cover $(H\beta, L_{5100})$ or $(MgII, L_{3000})$
- 2MASS, Spitzer and/or WISE data covers (rest-frame) optical cont. (Jiang+2006, 2010, Leipski+2014)

 $\rightarrow M_{\rm BH}$ and $\dot{M}_{\rm AD}$

- 3.5 4.5 5.5 6.5 2.54 5 6 3 $L_{\rm Bol}$ log 46 11 $\log M_{\rm BH}$ Edd $\log L_{\prime}$ 2.5 3 3.5 4.5 5 5.5 6.5 2 6 redshift, z
- Most sources have $M_{\rm BH} > 3 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$

Results, *z*~1.5-3.5: conservative lower limits on η

Highest \dot{M}_{AD} and lowest L_{Bol} (= 3× L_{5100})



the most massive BHs have high radiative efficiencies ... and high spins → low growth efficiencies

Trakhtenbrot (2014)

Results, $z \sim 6-7$: conservative lower limits on η Highest \dot{M}_{AD} and lowest $L_{Bol} (= 3 \times L_{5100})$

the highest-redshift quasars are consistent with efficient, thin accretion disks

Trakhtenbrot, Volonteri & Natarajan (in prep.)

Results, $z \sim 6-7$: accretion time-scales

"standard": L/L_{Edd} and $\eta = 0.1$ vs.

""": $\dot{M}_{\rm AD}$ and $L_{\rm Bold}$

the highest-redshift quasars are consistent with efficient, thin accretion disks; time for ~1-10 mass *e*-folds Trakhtenbrot, Volonteri & Natarajan (in prep.)

Additional evidence for high spins at high $M_{\rm BH}$

- Two new Kα measurements at significant redshifts, z~1-2 (Reis+2014, Reynolds+2014)
- UV-Optical SED fitting for z~1.5 AGN with known BH mass (Capellupo+2015, 2016)
- Requirement of significant ionizing radiation (for lines)
 - \rightarrow About 75% of massive z~0.7 SDSS quasars have a_{*} > 0.7 (Netzer & Trakhtenbrot 2014)
- are we missing a population of high-mass, slow- or retrograde spinning SMBHs?

Laor & Davis(2011)

Are we missing a population of high-mass, slow-spinning and/or retrograde SMBHs?

Bertemes et al (arXiv:1609.00376)

- Radiative efficiencies and/or BH spins are key to understanding early SMBH growth (and seed BH formation)
- 2. The most massive BHs, at $z \sim 1.5 \cdot 3.5$ have high spins Their luminosities require high η , given the virial masses
- 3. Available data for the highest-z quasars, at $z\sim 6$, consistent with thin-disk accretion if one assumes a thin-disk optical SED, most have $\eta > 0.04$
- 4. Still possible that they accreted with lower η at earlier epochs ... <u>and consequences / open issues</u>
- Most massive <u>relic</u>, local SMBHs should have high spins (EHT ? ...)
- Are we missing the non-spinning, retro-grade spinning and/or radiatively-inefficient SMBHs? (*eROSITA*, *Athena*, ...)

Thank you!

